The Toledo Plan

INTERNATION EVALUATION

A professional development plan for classroom performance.



	oledo Pub	ic Schools	
tft T	OLEDO FEDI F TEACHERS	RATION	,

History

The Toledo Public School system has achieved national recognition for its Intern-Intervention Program. The Toledo Plan is an effective teacher performance tool based on peer coaching and evaluation. Boldly different from traditional evaluation procedures in use by other school systems, intern-intervention has generated considerable national and international attention for Toledo. This recognition has resulted in over 3000 requests for information from school systems and others interested in peer review and higher classroom performance standards.

This pacesetting program, which was started in 1981, provides a formula for professional development of beginning teachers and an evaluation system that detects and screens out those who show little aptitude for the classroom. Additionally, experienced teachers who are severely deficient in performance are given intensive peer assistance to bring their work to acceptable standards. This intensive system of evaluation is aimed at those most in need of professional help - beginners in our system and those experienced teachers in trouble. For these individuals, intern-intervention delivers the very finest professional help available — peers who are themselves excellent teachers.

The Intern Program

All teachers newly hired by Toledo Public Schools are subject to inclusion in the Intern Program. Each new teacher's status is determined by the co-chairs of the Intern Board of Review. Those designated as interns are assigned a consulting teacher (mentor teacher) for the purpose of professional development and evaluation. The Intern Program allows the consulting teacher the time to conduct a complete evaluation of the intern's progress and ultimate success (or lack thereof) in meeting the performance standards of the Toledo Public Schools.

The evaluation process is one of continuous mutual goal-setting using classroom observations and follow-up conferences where the intern and consultant can analyze and set practical goals for improvement based on detailed evaluation criteria. A consultant may use any of a number of methods to assist the intern in meeting the goals set such as demonstration lessons, video taping, observations of other teachers.

The consulting teacher assigned to each intern will have final responsibility for the evaluation of the intern. Evaluation reports are filed by each consulting teacher on or before December 20 and March 20. Evaluations are based largely on the intern's progress toward meeting specific goals as determined by the consulting teacher. At the last evaluation date, the intern consulting teacher recommends to the Intern Board of Review the future employment status of the intern teacher. This board accepts or rejects the recommendation of the consulting teacher. The nine member board is composed of five teachers and four administrators. Six votes are required to reverse a consulting teacher's recommendation.

Intervention

The Intervention Program is designed to assist non-probationary teachers who have been identified as performing in a way so unsatisfactory that improvement or termination is imperative. A system of checks and balances is used to avoid abuse of intervention by either union or management. Both the principal and the union building committee must concur that a teacher should be assigned to intervention.

Following are the procedures used:

- Principal and building representative meet to discuss reasons for intervention.
- > When probability of intervention is evident, principals consult with the Assistant Superintendent and building representatives consult with the Federation President.
- Meeting held with the entire Federation Building Committee at which a fuller explanation is given of the need for intervention.
- > Both principal and building representative meet with teacher to deliver official notification that intevention is to be considered.
- Teacher interviewed by the Federation Building Committee and principal regarding placement in intervention. Following the interview, Federation Building Committee conducts a vote

- by secret ballot. If the vote affi placement, and the principal agr principal and building representa complete Teacher Intervention Fc
- > Teacher has the right to file an peal, within five days, to an impeal arbitrator whose decision is bing.
- If the arbitrator authorizes intention to proceed, a consulting teats assigned by the co-chairs of Board of Review.
- Consultant works with teacher the goal of bringing the teacher satisfactory level of teaching per mance.
- > There are no artificial time lir imposed.
- > The consultant decides when intention ends.
- At that time, a status report is f with both school management and union.
- Representation and due process rig and procedures exist for interventeachers who are subject to termition.

Consulting Teachers

- > Full-time mentors/evaluators who are limited to 3 years in the program
- > Receive an additional \$5,000 in salary
- > Minimum of 5 years of outstanding teaching service
- > Conduct new-teacher orientation
- > Provide workshops for interns on current teaching procedures and classroom management techniques
- >> Submit periodic reports to the Intern Board of Review regarding the status of each intern
- > Recommend future employment and status to Intern Board of Review
- > Attend all meetings of the Intern Board of Review

Intern Board of Review

- > Governs Intern Program
- > Consists of 5 union representatives and 4 management representatives
- > Chairmanship is rotated annually between the president of the Toledo Federation of Teachers and an assistant superintendent
- > Assigns consulting teachers
- > Approves applicable inservices
- > Manages the budget
- > Monitors and scrutinizes the work of each consulting teacher
- > Accepts or rejects the evaluation recommendations of the consulting teachers

Resignations do not include normal transfers out of district. Resignations are result of interns failing to meet standards.

STATUS REPORT OF INTERN TEACHERS

TEACHERS PLACE	ED IN INTERN PROGRAM:	NON-RENEWED	TERMINATED	<u>RESIGNED</u>	% FAILURE
1981-82	19	3			15.7
1982-83	49	1			2.0
1983-84	71	2		3	7.0
1984-85	83	3		1	4.8
1985-86	92		2	3	5.4
1986-87	163	2		4	3.6
1987-88	202	5	2	4	5.4
1988-89	151	6	1	4	7.3
1989-90	141	4	1	5	7.1
1990-91	170	8		(9	10.0
1991-92	109	9		4	11.9
1992-93	249	5 '	3	17	9.6
1993-94	170	7	1	10	10.6
1994-95	160	6	2	8	10.0
1995-96	NO PROGRÁM				
1996-97	<u> 175</u>	· <u>7</u>	<u>2</u> 12	<u>8</u> 80	<u>9.7</u>
TOTALS	2004	$\frac{7}{68}$	12	80	8.0

For further information, please call Toledo Public Schools (419) 729-8329 or Toledo Federation of Teachers (419) 535-3013.

Evaluation Procedure

- > Preliminary Conference is held between the consulting teacher and the intern teacher to discuss supervision, evaluation and goal setting process.
- > Goal-Setting Observation is made by the consulting teacher to observe and make assessment of the intern's teaching performance.
- ➤ Goal-Setting Conference between the consulting teacher and the intern teacher is held to establish specific performance goals.
- > Growth Period is given to allow the intern teacher time to follow through on the performance goals identified during the Goal-Setting Conference.
- > Summary Evaluation is completed using performance goals as a basis for overall evaluation.

Summary Evaluation

I. Teaching Procedures II. Classroom Management

III. Knowledge of Subject IV.
Personal
Characteristics
& Professional
Responsibility

